

UNITED ATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	
09/0/3,552 05/06/98 PODOS	ATTY: DOCKET NO.
	S 31064A
· 021003 HM22/0204	EXAMINER
BAKER & BOTTS	FAY, Z
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
	1614 6
	DATE MAILED: 02/04/00
	DATE MAILED: 02/04/99
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosec accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	cution as to the merits is closed in
	month(s), or thirty days,
hichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond with	hin the period for response will cause
ne application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be ob .136(a).	otained under the provisions of 37 CFR
isposition of Claims	
Claim(s) / - 2 Of the above, claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7 0	
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) ar	is/are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.
7	
Claim(s)aı pplication Papers	•
Claim(s)ar pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	•
Claim(s)ar pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are objection, filed onis/are objection.	re subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)ar pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are object The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	re subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)ar pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are objection, filed onis/are objection.	re subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)ar pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are object The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	re subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)ar pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are object The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	re subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. cted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).
Claim(s)	te subject to restriction or election requirement. ted to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. have been ule 17.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 09/073,552

Art Unit:

Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Schneider.

Schneider teaches the use of the claimed type prostanoids for the treatment of glaucoma.

The above reference makes clear that the claimed type prostaglandins have been previously used

for the claimed purpose. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or

unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 1-21 are properly rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 103.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Fay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4604.

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Zah fa